

## 10 Sheaf cohomology

**Notation:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  be a ringed space over a ring  $R$ . We denote the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules by  $\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}$  and the category of modules over  $R$  by  $R\text{-mod}$ .

The aim is to measure the lack of exactness of the global section functor

$$\Gamma(X, -) : \mathcal{O}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{F}(X)$$

by constructing a  $\delta$ -functor extending  $\Gamma(X, -)$ . The general construction of derived functors is outlined in last week's talk. In order to apply the theory presented there, we have to check two properties:

**Proposition 10.1.** *The functor  $\Gamma(X, -)$  is left-exact.*

*Proof.* This was essentially done in talks in the past, since one can compose  $\Gamma(X, -)$  as

$$\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Sh}(X, R) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} \text{PrSh}(X, R) \xrightarrow{-(X)} R\text{-mod}.$$

$F$  is the forgetful functor, which is exact. The inclusion functor is left-exact by [1], chapter 3, 6.9(i) and  $-(X)$  is the presheaf global section functor, which is exact by [1], chapter 3, 6.9(iv).  $\square$

**Proposition 10.2.** *The category  $\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}$  has enough injectives.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. For every  $x \in X$ , the stalk  $\mathcal{F}_x$  at  $x$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module. Since  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is a ring, and we have proven, that  $S\text{-mod}$  has enough injectives for any ring  $S$ , we find an embedding  $\mathcal{F}_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is an injective  $\mathcal{O}_x$ -module.

The module  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is a sheaf on  $\{x\}$  and yields an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $j_{x*}\mathcal{E}_x$  via the inclusion  $j_x : \{x\} \rightarrow X$ , where  $j_{x*}$  denotes the direct image functor induced by  $j_x$ . One can prove, that it is right adjoint to the functor  $j_x^*$ , which is induced by the restriction  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}|_x$ .

We define  $\mathcal{E} := \prod_{x \in X} j_{x*}\mathcal{E}_x$  (the product in  $\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}$ ). With the universal property of the product and the adjunction  $j_{x*} \vdash j_x^*$  we obtain

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{G}, j_{x*}\mathcal{E}_x) = \prod_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{G}_x, \mathcal{E}_x) \quad (10.1)$$

for every  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$ . By setting  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ , we get a monomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  induced by the stalkwise monomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$ . To prove, that  $\mathcal{E}$  is injective, we note that  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is injective for every  $x \in X$ . Hence,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_x}(-, \mathcal{E}_x)$  is exact. Then, by (10.1),  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(-, \mathcal{E})$  is exact and  $\mathcal{E}$  therefore injective.  $\square$

**Theorem 10.3.** *There is a universal  $\delta$ -functor*

$$(H^n(X, -) : \mathcal{O}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}, \delta)_{n \geq 0}$$

over  $\Gamma(X, -)$ , i.e.,

(a)  $H^n(X, -)$  are additive functors for  $n \geq 0$ , with  $H^n(X, -) = \Gamma(X, -)$ ,

(b) for every exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, there exists a family of  $R$ -module homomorphisms  $\delta : H^n(X, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{F}')$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , called **connecting homomorphisms**,

satisfying

(1) for every short exact sequence (SES)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, the sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'') \\ & & & & & & \delta \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & H^1(X, \mathcal{F}') & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{F}'') \\ & & & & & & \delta \\ & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & H^2(X, \mathcal{F}') & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathcal{F}'') \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

is exact

(2) for every morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}'' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of SES of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^n(X, \mathcal{F}') & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{F}'') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{F}') & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^n(X, \mathcal{G}') & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{G}'') & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{G}') & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

commutes.

(3) The  $\delta$ -functor is universal in the sense, that for any  $\delta$ -functor  $(F^n, \delta)_{n \geq 0}$  extending  $\Gamma(X, -)$ , there exists a unique family of morphisms of functors  $\varphi^n : H^n(X, -) \rightarrow F^n$  compatible with  $\delta$ , such that  $\varphi^0 = \text{id}$ .

A universal  $\delta$ -functor extending  $\Gamma(X, -)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism.  $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$  is called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  **cohomology of  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{F}$** .

*Proof.* Talk 9,  $H^n(X, -) := R^n\Gamma(X, -)$ . □

**Remark.** • In comparison with the p.o.v. in algebraic topology, the  $\mathcal{O}$ -module is the “variable” in our case here, whereas the topological space  $X$  is the “variable” in algebraic topology. In algebraic topology, the construction of, for example, **singular** cohomology is explicit, in the sense, that one explicitly constructs a complex from  $X$  and takes the cohomology of this complex. For  $X$  locally contractible, one can prove  $H^n(X, R_X) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^n(X, R)$ , where  $R_X$  is the locally constant sheaf.

- For easier computation, one might choose a greater class of objects to resolve a given  $\mathcal{O}$ -module, compared to injective resolutions. Obviously, the aim is to compute the same thing! There are some options, e.g., **acyclic resolutions** and some, that particularly work only in  $\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}$ , i.e., by using “flabby” sheaves, which even give canonical resolutions “Godement resolution” ... more will come next year.

**Definition 10.4.** A  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{I}$  is called **acyclic**<sup>1</sup>, iff  $H^n(X, \mathcal{I}) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$ . For an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , an **acyclic resolution** is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ , such that  $\mathcal{I}^n$  is acyclic for every  $n \geq 0$ . We denote the sequence by  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$ .

**Example.** Injective  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules are acyclic by design and injective resolutions of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules are acyclic resolutions.

**Theorem 10.5.** Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\bullet$  be an acyclic resolution of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then,

$$H^n(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^n(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)),$$

canonical, for all  $n \geq 0$ . The  $R$ -module  $H^n(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet))$  denotes the cohomology of a complex, i.e.,

$$H^n(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)) := H^n(0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^1) \rightarrow \dots) := \frac{\ker(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^{n+1}))}{\text{im}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^n))}.$$

*Proof.* For the case  $n = 0$ , we apply  $\Gamma(X, -)$  to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^1$ . This yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^0) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^1).$$

Therefore we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \ker(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^1)) = H^0(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)),$$

which shows the statement. For the case  $n \geq 1$ , we set  $\mathcal{I}^{-1} := \mathcal{K}^0 := \mathcal{F}$  and for  $p \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{K}^p := \text{coker}(\mathcal{I}^{p-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{p-1}) \cong \text{im}(\mathcal{I}^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^p) = \ker(\mathcal{I}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{p+1}),$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We can define acyclicity more generally for any left-exact functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , for  $\mathcal{C}$  having enough injectives: an object  $\mathcal{I}$  is called  $F$ -acyclic, iff  $R^n F(\mathcal{I}) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$ .

where the isomorphism is induced by the map  $\mathcal{I}^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^p$ . From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{p+1}$ , we obtain in the same manner as above

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^p) = \ker(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^p) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{p+1})) \quad (10.2)$$

for all  $p \geq 1$ . This yields also  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^p) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^p)$  and so

$$\operatorname{im}(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n)) = \operatorname{im}(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^n)). \quad (10.3)$$

The SES

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{p+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}^p) & \longrightarrow & \overbrace{H^n(X, \mathcal{I}^p)}^{=0} & \longrightarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}^{p+1}) \\ & & & & & \searrow & \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}^p) & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{I}^p)}_{=0} & \longrightarrow & H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}^{p+1}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

where the cohomology vanishes as marked above because of acyclicity. Hence,  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}^{p+1}) \cong H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}^p)$ . Also, from the long exact sequence (for  $p = n - 1$ )

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{K}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H^1(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1})}_{=0} \longrightarrow \dots,$$

we get

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{K}^{n-1}) \cong \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n)}{\operatorname{im}(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n))}$$

with the homomorphism theorem. Inductive by using the isomorphism derived above, and since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{K}^0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(X, \mathcal{F}) &= H^n(X, \mathcal{K}^0) \cong \dots \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{K}^{n-1}) \\ &\cong \frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n)}{\operatorname{im}(H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}^n))} = H^n(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}^\bullet)) \end{aligned}$$

where we used (10.2) and (10.3). □

**Theorem 10.6.** *Let  $\Phi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  be a morphism of ringed spaces over  $R$ . Then, the direct image functor  $\Phi_* : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod}$  is left exact and there is a universal  $\delta$ -functor  $(R^n\Phi_* : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod}, \delta)_{n \geq 0}$  over  $\Phi_*$ . Hence, for every short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi_*\mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & \Phi_*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \Phi_*\mathcal{F}'' \\
& & & & \delta & & \\
& \searrow & & & & & \\
& & R^1\Phi_*\mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & R^1\Phi_*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & R^1\Phi_*\mathcal{F}'' \\
& & & & \delta & & \\
& \searrow & & & & & \\
& & R^2\Phi_*\mathcal{F}' & \longrightarrow & R^2\Phi_*\mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & R^2\Phi_*\mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow \dots
\end{array}$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the underlying continuous map of  $\Phi$  and  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$  be a SES in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -Mod,  $V \subseteq Y$  open and  $U := \phi^{-1}(V)$ . Then,

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}') \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}'').$$

is exact in  $R$ -mod and since  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(V, \Phi_*\mathcal{F})$  (analogue for  $\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}''$ ) the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(V, \Phi_*\mathcal{F}') \longrightarrow \Gamma(V, \Phi_*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(V, \Phi_*\mathcal{F}'').$$

is also exact in  $R$ -mod. Because  $V$  is arbitrary,  $U$  is arbitrary and therefore

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi_*\mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \Phi_*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \Phi_*\mathcal{F}''.$$

is exact in  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -Mod. Hence,  $\Phi_*$  is left exact. The existence of the universal  $\delta$ -functor follows from the talk last week.  $\square$

**Remark.** This can be seen as a generalization of the cohomology functor we introduced above. We recover  $H^n(X, -)$  by setting  $Y = \{*\}$  to be the one-point space and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = R$  (since there is only one stalk). For  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$ , the constant morphism, we obtain  $R^n\Phi_* = H^n(X, -)$ , since we can identify the category of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules with the category of  $R$ -modules.

**Theorem 10.7.** Let  $\Gamma'$  be the composite functor

$$\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{Z}_X\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathbb{Z}\text{-mod},$$

where  $F$  denotes the forgetful functor,  $\Gamma := \Gamma(X, -)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_X$  the constant sheaf with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, there is a natural isomorphism of  $\delta$ -functors

$$R^n\Gamma' \cong (R^n\Gamma) \circ F = H^n(X, F(-)).$$

In other words, we may compute the cohomology of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module, as if it was just a sheaf of Abelian groups and also make use of resolutions in this category.

*Proof.* We may apply theory from last week's talk. To do so, we have to check, that  $F$  transforms injectives in  $\mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}$  into acyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_X$ -modules, see chapter 5, 3.13 and 3.15 in [1].  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. R. Tennison, *Sheaf Theory*, Cambridge University Press, **1975**.
- [2] T. Wedhorn, *Manifolds, Sheaves, and Cohomology*, Springer Spektrum Wiesbaden, **2016**.
- [3] C. Dahlhausen, *Algebra and Topology, part II*, Lecture Notes, **2020**.